

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Chere B. Gooch-Martin,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting
 Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:13-cv-01638-GMN-PAL

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen, (ECF No. 23), which recommends that Plaintiff Chere B. Gooch-Martin's Motion to Remand (ECF No. 10) be **DENIED** and Defendant's Cross-Motion to Affirm (ECF No. 16) be **GRANTED**.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1–4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3–2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3–2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all ... of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.

Accordingly,

DATED this 23 day of November, 2015.

Page 2 of 2